SIGN-IN SHEET ATTACHED

The Noise Abatement Advisory Board (NAAB) held a meeting at Miami International Airport (MIA) in Concourse "D" Auditorium, 4th Floor. The meeting was called to order at 1810 hours (L) and total of (6) members were physically present; thus, a quorum was obtained. Mr. Brian Gilderman attended virtually for a total of (7) members in attendance.

INTRODUCTION

Mr. Rollason: Welcomes and calls the meeting to order.

Mr. Hegedus: Welcomes everyone to the meeting, takes roll of all members present and advises the chair that they have quorum.

REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC TO BE HEARD

- *Mr. Rollason*: If we have anyone from the public that would like to speak.
- *Mr. Rollason*: Let the record reflect that no one is present from the public.

APPROVE MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

- Mr. Rollason: I will entertain a motion to approve the minutes from the previous meeting.
- *Mr. Gilderman*: Motion to postpone approval of minutes until next meeting of the board.

Mr. Gutierrez: Second.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY PASSED.

REVIEW OF ILLEGAL RUN-UPS

- Mr. Rollason: Norman, any illegal run-ups?
- Mr. Hegedus: None.
- Mr. Rollason: No action required.

SUMMARY OF NOISE COMPLAINTS

Mr. Rollason: Any discussion of the summary?

Mr. Rollason: None.

OLD BUSINESS FAA METROPLEX POST-IMPLEMENTATION

Mr. Helmstetter: After our last meeting in February, I sent an e-mail to Norman with some questions to try and put the chain of events on paper of what happened last year because it seems as though we never got a chance to comment on Metroplex and Norman responded that he would go through those questions at a meeting.

Mr. Hegedus: I was advised that it had to be done during one of the meetings with the board present.

CAO: Explains the sunshine rules to the board.

Mr. Rollason: Mr. Helmstetter, you have the floor.

Mr. Helmstetter: I sent an e-mail to Norman on August 3rd, 2021, post Metroplex implementation, requesting that we all meet 60 days after to discuss the pros and cons and what changes we would like made. I'm not sure why that was never shared with Frank, so my question is Norman how come you didn't mention that to Frank #1, and #2 no other members requested to meet post Metroplex?

Mr. Hegedus: I don't have that e-mail and like Frank stated before, I have relayed all the messages of requests from all the members to Frank. If you made that request, I'm not sure what happened at this time and I will need to you go back to your emails and find it, but it would be a first if you requested a meeting and I did not relay that information to Frank Rollason.

Mr. Helmstetter: Did MDAD have the approval to send the FAA a letter on October 4th, 2021, ref. recommendation to modify CSALT, without the board's approval?

Mr. Rollason: The administration of the airport is not under the authority of this board, we are an Advisory Board to the Commission, so there are many times that the administration deals directly with the FAA on matters that we've discussed here but they don't need our approval to interface with the FAA.

Mr. Agostino: We interface with various government agencies daily as a normal business practice.

-Lengthy discussion continues in reference to post-implementation of the Metroplex.

Mr. Gilderman: I make a motion to ask the county attorney to investigate whether MDAD and/or the FAA was influenced by outside parties or failed to disclose relevant information to the NAAB during the design of Metroplex.

CAO: I don't believe this motion would be in order.

Mr. Rollason: I don't believe this motion would be in order either. This is not the role of this board.

-No momentum on this motion. The Chair has moved onto the Sunset Review process below.

SUNSET REVIEW PROCESS

Mr. Rollason: It appears this board has sunsetted. What has happened is in the past we would get a letter from the County advising us that we needed to send a letter with documentation to support the continuation of this board. The last time we got that letter was in 2018.

Mr. Hegedus: Yes, we sent in 2018 what is called a Sunset Report which is every few years the County through the Clerk sends a request through the Aviation Department to submit what is called a Sunset Report, and in this report all the accomplishments are written down, and everyone votes on these and then a letter is sent to the Clerk of the Board with that report.

Mr. Rollason: And then the Commission will take action on those boards they desire to sunset through formal action and then the other ones continue on. Since that letter we received in 2018 and responded to, we were kept in operation, but we have not received that letter again since then. However, we have been advised that this board has sunsetted.

***INTIAL MOTION**

Mr. Helmstetter: I'd like to make a motion where the Noise Abatement Advisory Board, with the help of the County Attorney and MDAD, prepare whatever documentation is necessary to allow the NAAB to continue to operate and to re-establish it, so its prior activity is retroactively approved and acknowledged.

Ms. De Leon: Second.

CAO: The board's chairperson shall submit a report, approved by the board, to the County Mayor setting forth the following information concerning the board:

1) Whether the board is serving the purpose for which it was created.

- (2) Whether the board is serving current community needs.
- (3) A list of the board's major accomplishments.
- (4) Whether there is any other board, either public or private, which would better serve the function of the board.

(5) Whether the ordinance creating the board should be amended to better enable the board to serve the purpose for which it was created.

(6) Whether the board's membership requirements should be modified.

(7) The cost, both direct and indirect, of maintaining the board.

(8) Whether the County board is meeting performance measures developed to determine their effectiveness in achieving stated goals.

*AMENDED MOTION

Mr. Helmstetter: Amend the motion on the floor: to give the chair the right and ability to prepare whatever documentation is necessary and to take whatever action is necessary to reinstate the board to ensure that we continue to operate and, in such documentation, should respond to or highlight responses to Section 2-11.40.

CAO: And the motion would be to authorize the chair to transmit such letter without further review by this board, correct?

Mr. Helmstetter: correct

Ms. De Leon: Second

-All members vote, motion passes.

Mr. Rollason: Norman, that means we get together to include those items update them to what you and I think makes sense and then we'll send that out. Once I send that out, I can copy the other members of the board that we have sent this letter?

CAO: Certainly. I would just advise that the first thing I would do is I would send it to Norman, sent it to staff and have staff to send it out so that there's no communication between members of the board. So again, I would strongly advise against any direct communication between members.

Mr. Rollason: Next meeting is TBD pending sunset review process.

Mr. Rollason: Motion to adjourn?

Mr. Helmstetter: Motions to adjourn.

Ms. De Leon: Seconds the motion.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Meeting adjourned.

IN ATTENDANCE:

Board Members:

Billman, James De Leon, Karen Gilderman, Brian (Virtual) Gutierrez, Silvia V. Muhina, Eduardo Helmstetter, George Rollason, Frank

MDAD:

Agostino, Dan Hegedus, Norman Kinnebrew, Joseph Zagare, Ryan (CAO)